Article

Biofeedback in medicine: who, when, why and how?

Dana L Frank BS PhD Student, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine

Lamees Khorshid PsyD Psychology Postdoctoral Fellow, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology

Jerome F Kiffer MA Certified Biofeedback Therapist, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology

Christine S Moravec PhD Research Assistant, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine

Michael G McKee PhD

Clinical Health Psychologist, Department of Psychiatry and Psychology

Bakken Heart–Brain Institute, Kaufman Center for Heart Failure, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA

ABSTRACT

Biofeedback is a mind–body technique in which individuals learn how to modify their physiology for the purpose of improving physical, mental, emotional and spiritual health. Much like physical therapy, biofeedback training requires active participation on the part of patients and often regular practice between training sessions. Clinical biofeedback may be used to manage disease symptoms as well as to improve overall health and wellness through stress management training. Research has shown that biofeedback interventions are efficacious in treating a variety of medical conditions, and many Americans are turning to biofeedback and other less traditional therapies for their routine healthcare. Clinical biofeedback training is growing increasingly popular in the USA, as many people are seeking out relatively new approaches to healthcare. This article provides an overview of clinical biofeedback training, outlines two models of training, details research which has established how effective biofeedback is in patients with a given disease, and describes who should be referred for biofeedback training.

Keywords: autonomic nervous system, biofeedback, psychophysiology, relaxation response, selfregulation, stress-management

Biofeedback

Biofeedback is a self-regulation technique through which patients learn to voluntarily control what were once thought to be involuntary body processes. This intervention requires specialised equipment to convert physiological signals into meaningful visual and auditory cues, as well as a trained biofeedback practitioner to guide the therapy. Using a screen such as a computer monitor, patients get feedback that helps them develop control over their physiology. Just as looking into a mirror allows one to see and change positions, expressions, etc., biofeedback allows patients to see inside their bodies, with a trained practitioner serving as a guide directing them to use the feedback to regulate their physiology in a healthy direction. 86

Surface electromyography (sEMG) is perhaps the most common physiological variable monitored using biofeedback. sEMG feedback is used in a variety of disorders such as tension headache, chronic pain, spasmodic torticollis and temporomandibular joint dysfunction. Electroencephalography (EEG) feedback, also called neurofeedback, is used in ADHD and epilepsy and is increasingly the focus of research and other applications.

Some of the other commonly monitored variables are used when the goal of biofeedback is to reduce sympathetic arousal. These include heart rate, respiration rate, skin surface temperature (at the fingertips), skin conductance and heart rate variability.¹ This physiological information is normally not viewed as being under conscious control, but biofeedback provides real-time data, helping to bring such physiological processes under the control of the patient.² Common disorders treated in this way include hypertension, anxiety and medical conditions exacerbated by stress. Biofeedback also helps to make patients aware of the thoughts, feelings and behaviours related to their physiology. Over time, they can learn to self-regulate without feedback screens in front of them.

Two models of biofeedback training

Biofeedback training is used in a variety of settings in order to improve academic, athletic and corporate performance, as well to improve health and wellness. This training may follow one of two learning models, each of which provides feedback so that the client learns techniques that help to correct any present malfunctioning.

Operant conditioning and feedback learning

Operant conditioning is a model of learning which utilises consequences as a means to modify the occurrence or type of behaviour.³ For biofeedback, a straight operant conditioning model relies solely on the reinforcement of the signal displays in order to prompt patients to change their physiology. Feedback learning often functions together with operant reinforcement. An example outside the healthcare arena is learning to putt a golf ball. As the individual sees where the ball goes, the feedback helps to improve the next stroke. In biofeedback, seeing the physiological data go in a better direction results in feedback learning, and positive reinforcement learning is usually satisfying. The therapist is simply there to explain what the biofeedback equipment is measuring and how it relates to the patient's physiology. Operant conditioning and the feedback learning model have been used in the management of many medical disorders including Raynaud's disease and faecal incontinence.⁴

Psychophysiological psychotherapy

Patients who are suffering from a disease that has a major stress component may also be helped by biofeedback using a psychophysiological psychotherapy model of learning. In this model, it is necessary to understand the patient as an individual. Stress management and other psychotherapeutic interventions may be used in combination with biofeedback training in order to make patients aware of how the stress in their lives has an effect on physiology. This model of learning uses both a psychophysiological assessment, described below, and a psychological evaluation in order to determine the thought and behaviour patterns that contribute to the patient's physiological vulnerability. This application of biofeedback training, which includes stress management, may be the most successful in treating stress related disorders.

Training not treatment

Biofeedback therapy is a process of training as opposed to a treatment. Much like being taught how to tie their shoes or ride a bicycle, individuals undergoing biofeedback training must take an active role and practise in order to develop the skill. Rather than passively receiving a treatment, the patient is an active learner. It's like learning a new language.

When a patient comes in for clinical biofeedback therapy, an emphasis is placed on education. As sensors are placed on the patient's skin, the therapist explains what each sensor will be measuring, assuring the patient that the sensors do not cause any pain or shock but rather are simply recording signals from the body and displaying those signals on the screen. The therapist chooses signal displays which take into account both the needs and limitations of the individual being seen, and then explains each signal. This may be as simple as 'the green line is muscle tension, the blue line is temperature', etc.¹ Patients are then taught how the signals being displayed relate to their physiology. For example, the therapist may say, 'Raise your shoulders' or 'Scrunch your face,' using the muscle tension signal on the screen to point out the patient's physiological responses.

Patients are also shown how their physiology is reactive to mental stimuli, particularly stressful situations. This is often done with a psychophysiological assessment including a series of activities and recoveries. First patients are asked to relax, and then they are asked to engage in a stressful activity such as the Stroop Color–Word Test⁵ or the Serial Sevens Test⁶ before once again being asked to relax. The therapist can then pause the feedback and show the patient his or her physiological reactivity to the mental task, as well as the extent and speed with which the physiology returned to baseline values. At this point the therapist may explain what the optimal values are for each of the physiological variables being measured as well as how they relate to patient health. For example, the therapist may say, 'Keeping the green line down below two microvolts means that your muscles are already relaxed'. This may also be related to the patient's current condition by saying something such as 'If you practise letting go of the tension in these muscles, then you will experience headaches less frequently or with less intensity'. The therapist may then provide the patient with suggestions of how to use imagery or self-talk to reduce stress. The final aspect of biofeedback training is reinforcement by the therapist that the patient is doing a good job and is more in control of his or her recovery and wellness.

Indications for referral

Individuals may be referred for biofeedback training as either an alternative or an adjunctive therapy. Current treatment may be producing an insufficient response or even no response at all. Individuals who are intolerant of medication or for whom pharmacological treatment is contraindicated (e.g. the patient is pregnant or may become pregnant, or the patient is breast feeding) may be referred for biofeedback training. Patients who for any reason are not adhering to their current treatment regimen may also respond to biofeedback training. Some patients may request additional help or therapy. Individuals who have stress as a significant component of their medical condition can be referred for biofeedback training combined with psychotherapy. There is also significant self-selection, as patients who value self-control are likely to ask for biofeedback or be most receptive when it is offered.

Efficacy of biofeedback interventions

In 2001, a Task Force of the Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback and the Society for Neuronal Regulation outlined criteria for levels of evidence-based clinical efficacy of psychophysiological interventions.⁷ The official standards for inclusion of research studies in this task force report are described below.^{7–9}

87

Level 1: Not empirically supported

Evidence for Level 1 efficacy is supported only by anecdotal reports and/or case studies which are not peer reviewed.

Level 2: Possibly efficacious

Evidence for Level 2 efficacy is supported by at least one study of sufficient statistical power with wellidentified outcome measures but which lacks random assignment to a control condition internal to the study.

Level 3: Probably efficacious

Evidence for Level 3 efficacy is supported by multiple observational, clinical, wait list controlled, within-subject and intrasubject replication studies that demonstrate efficacy.

Level 4: Efficacious

Evidence for Level 4 efficacy meets all of the following criteria:

- a in a comparison with a no-treatment control group, alternative treatment group, or sham (placebo) control utilising randomised assignment, the investigational treatment is shown to be statistically significantly superior to the control condition, or the investigational treatment is equivalent to a treatment of established efficacy in a study with sufficient power to detect moderate differences *and*
- b the studies have been conducted with a population treated for a specific problem, for whom inclusion criteria are delineated in a reliable, operationally defined manner *and*
- c the study used valid and clearly specified outcome measures related to the problem being treated *and*

88

- d the data are subjected to appropriate data analysis *and*
- e the diagnostic and treatment variables and procedures are clearly defined in a manner that permits replication of the study by independent researchers *and*
- f the superiority or equivalence of the investigational treatment has been shown in at least two independent research settings.

Level 5: Efficacious and specific

Evidence for Level 5 efficacy meets all of the Level 4 criteria and, in addition, the investigational treatment has been shown to be statistically superior to credible sham therapy, pill, or alternative bona fide treatment in at least two independent research settings.

Efficacy ratings for specific conditions

Using the criteria detailed above, Yucha and Montgomery⁷ rated the current evidence on the efficacy of biofeedback training on various diseases and reported this in 2008. These ratings are summarised in Table 1. Keep in mind that if a condition has a lower efficacy rating, this does not suggest that biofeedback is not helpful in that condition, but rather that relevant research has not yet been conducted. Also, when combined with conventional medical management, an individual may very much benefit from a 'possibly efficacious' biofeedback application. An initial evaluation can usually reveal whether physiology monitored by biofeedback is outside normal limits and whether correcting it is likely to help the symptoms or disorder. For example, someone with a tension headache but normal electromyogram (EMG) readings from the trapezius and forehead sites probably won't benefit from EMG biofeedback, but someone with elevated readings probably would.

Level 5 Efficacious and specific Level 2 Possibly efficacious Asthma³⁰ Urinary incontinence (females)¹⁰ Autism³¹ Bell's palsy³² Level 4 Efficacious Anxiety¹¹ Cerebral palsy³³ Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder¹² Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease³⁴ Chronic pain¹³ Coronary artery disease³⁵ Constipation (adult)¹⁴ Cystic fibrosis³⁶ Epilepsy¹⁵ Depressive disorders37 Headache (adult)¹⁶ Erectile dysfunction³⁸ Hypertension¹⁷ Fibromyalgia/chronic fatigue syndrome³⁹ Motion sickness¹⁸ Hand dystonia⁴⁰ Raynaud's disease¹⁹ Irritable bowel syndrome⁴¹ Temporomandibular disorder²⁰ Post-traumatic stress disorder⁴² Repetitive strain injury⁴³ Respiratory failure: mechanical ventilation⁴⁴ Level 3 Probably efficacious Stroke⁴⁵ Alcoholism/substance abuse²¹ Arthritis²² Tinnitus⁴⁶ Diabetes mellitus²³ Urinary incontinence (children)47 Faecal incontinence²⁴ Headache (paediatric)²⁵ Level 1 Not empirically supported Insomnia²⁶ Eating disorders⁴⁸ Immune function49 Traumatic brain injury²⁷ Urinary incontinence (males)²⁸ Spinal cord injury⁵⁰ Vulvar vestibulitis²⁹ Syncope⁵¹

* Ratings were made by Yucha and Montgomery⁷ based on data from the cited references

Table 1 Efficacy ratings for biofeedback training on various medical conditions*

Biofeedback gaining popular acceptance

Clinical biofeedback training is steadily gaining acceptance with the American public. Biofeedback is recognised by the National Institute of Complementary and Alternative Medicine as one of the mind-body therapies and many doctors and patients think of biofeedback as a form of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM). The last decade has demonstrated a significant increase in the prevalence of CAM use. A recent in-person survey of health- and illness-related experiences showed that in the USA alone, approximately 38% of adults and 12% of children are using some form of CAM for general healthcare and wellness or to treat a range of symptoms and diseases.⁵² In 2007, Americans spent nearly \$34 billion on CAM practitioners and products.53

As more and more Americans seek out such complementary and alternative therapies for their healthcare and as research continues to reveal the efficacy of biofeedback for more and more medical disorders, it is likely that it will become an accepted therapy for more disease conditions. A large study being undertaken this fall at the Cleveland Clinic, with funding from the Bakken Heart–Brain Institute, seeks to investigate the efficacy of biofeedback in treating three populations of patients – those with coronary artery disease, diabetes or multiple sclerosis. We hope to establish in these and other diseases that biofeedback is successful in improving quality of life and clinical status, as well as possibly reversing biological aspects of each disease.

REFERENCES

- 1 Schwartz MS and Andrasik F. *Biofeedback: a practitioner's guide.* New York: Guilford Press, 2003.
- 2 Moss D. Mind/body medicine, evidence-based medicine clinical psychophysiology, and integrative medicine. In: Moss D, McGrady A, Davies T *et al* (eds) *Handbook of Mind Body Medicine in Primary Care: behavioral and physiological tools*. Thousand Oaks: Sage, 2003, pp. 3–18.
- 3 Basmajian JV. *Biofeedback: principles and practice for clinicians*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1989.
- 4 McKee M.G Biofeedback: an overview in the context of heart-brain medicine. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine* 2008;75:S31–4.
- 5 MacLeod CM. Half a century of research on the Stroop effect: an integrative review. *Psychological Bulletin* 1991;109:163–203.
- 6 Schneider L. Serial Sevens Test. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1983;143:612.
- 7 Yucha C and Montgomery D. Evidence-Based Practice in Biofeedback and Neurofeedback. Wheat Ridge: As-

sociation for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback, 2008.

89

- 8 Moss D and Gunkelman J. Task force report on methodology and empirically supported treatments: introduction. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2002;27:271–2.
- 9 Moss D and Gunkelman J. Task force report on methodology and empirically supported treatments: introduction and summary. *Biofeedback* 2002;30: 19–20.
- 10 Burgio KL, Locher JL, Goode PS *et al*. Behavioral vs drug treatment for urge urinary incontinence in older women: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1998;280:1995– 2000.
- 11 Rice KM, Blanchard EB and Purcell M. Biofeedback treatments of generalized anxiety disorder: preliminary results. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation* 1993; 18:93–105.
- 12 Monastra VJ, Lynn S, Linden M *et al.* Electroencephalographic biofeedback in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2005;30:95–114.
- 13 Corrado P, Gottlieb H and Abdelhamid MH. The effect of biofeedback and relaxation training on anxiety and somatic complaints in chronic pain patients. *American Journal of Pain Management* 2003; 13:133–9.
- 14 Bassotti G, Chistolini F, Sietchiping-Nzepa F *et al.* Biofeedback for pelvic floor dysfunction in constipation. *BMJ* 2004;328:393–6.
- 15 Sterman MB. Basic concepts and clinical findings in the treatment of seizure disorders with EEG operant conditioning. *Clinical Electroencephalography* 2000; 31: 45–55.
- 16 Arena JG, Bruno GM, Hannah SL *et al*. Comparison of frontal electromyographic biofeedback training, trapezius electromyographic biofeedback training, and progressive muscle relaxation therapy in the treatment of tension headache. *Headache* 2001; 35:411–19.
- 17 Linden W and Moseley JV. The efficacy of behavioral treatments for hypertension. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2006;31:51–63.
- 18 Stout CS, Toscano W and Cowings PS. Reliability of psychophysiological responses across multiple motion sickness stimulation tests. *Journal of Vestibular Research* 1995;5:25–33.
- 19 Karavidas MK, Tsai PS, Yucha C *et al*. Thermal biofeedback for primary Raynaud's phenomenon: a review of the literature. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2006;31:203–16.
- 20 Crider A, Glaros AG and Gevirtz RN. Efficacy of biofeedback-based treatments for temporomandibular disorders. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2005;30:333–45.
- 21 Sokhadze TM, Cannon RL and Trudeau DL. EEG biofeedback as a treatment for substance use disorders: review, rating of efficacy, and recommendations for further research. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2008;33:1–28.

- 22 Young LD, Bradley LA and Turner RA. Decreases in health care resource utilization in patients with rheumatoid arthritis following a cognitive behavioral intervention. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation* 1995;20:259–68.
- 23 Jablon SL, Naliboff BD, Gilmore SL *et al*. Effects of relaxation training on glucose tolerance and diabetic control in type II diabetes. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 1997;22:155–69.
- 24 Solomon MJ, Pager CK, Rex J *et al.* Randomized, controlled trial of biofeedback with anal manometry, transanal ultrasound, or pelvic floor retraining with digital guidance alone in the treatment of mild to moderate fecal incontinence. *Diseases of the Colon and Rectum* 2003;46:703–10.
- 25 Arndorfer RE and Allen KD. Extending the efficacy of a thermal biofeedback treatment package to the management of tension-type headaches in children. *Headache* 2001;41:183–92.
- 26 NIH Technology Assessment Panel on Integration of Behavioral and Relaxation Approaches into the Treatment of Chronic Pain and Insomnia. Integration of behavioral and relaxation approaches into the treatment of chronic pain and insomnia. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1996; 276:313–18.
- 27 Hammond DC. Can LENS neurofeedback treat anosmia resulting from a head injury? *Journal of Neurotherapy* 2007;11:57–62.
- 28 Van Kampen M, de Weerdt W, Van Poppel H et al. Effect of pelvic-floor re-education on duration and degree of incontinence after radical prostatectomy: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;355:98– 102.
- 29 Glazer HI, Rodke G, Swencionis C*et al*. Treatment of vulvar vestibulitis syndrome with electromyographic biofeedback of pelvic floor musculature. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 1995;40:283–90.
- 30 Ritz T, Dahme B and Roth WT. Behavioral interventions in asthma: biofeedback techniques. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 2004;56:711–20.
- 31 Coben R and Padolsky I. Assessment-guided neurofeedback for autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Neurotherapy* 2007;11:5–19.
- 32 Dalla Toffola E, Bossi D, Buonocore M et al. Usefulness of biofeedback/EMG in facial palsy rehabilitation. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2005;27:809–15.
- 33 Bolek JE. A preliminary study of modification of gait in real time using surface electromyography. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2003;28:129– 38.
- 34 Giardino ND, Chan L and Borson S. Combined heart rate variability and pulse oximetry biofeedback for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: preliminary findings. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2004;29:121–33.
- 35 Del Pozo JM, Gevirtz RN, Scher B *et al.* Biofeedback treatment increases heart rate variability in patients with known coronary artery disease. *American Heart Journal* 2004;147:E11.
- 36 Delk KK, Gevirtz R, Hicks DA *et al.* The effects of biofeedback-assisted breathing retraining on lung

functions in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 1994;105:23–8.

- 37 Karavidas MK, Lehrer OM, Vaschillo E *et al.* Preliminary results of an open-label study of heart rate variability biofeedback for the treatment of major depression. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2007;32:19–30.
- 38 Van Kampen M, de Weerdt W, Claes H *et al.* Treatment of erectile dysfunction by perineal exercise, electromyographic biofeedback, and electrical stimulation. *Physical Therapy* 2003;83:536–43.
- 39 Mueller HH, Donaldson CC, Nelson DV et al. Treatment of fibromyalgia incorporating EEG-driven stimulation: a clinical outcomes study. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 2001;57:933–52.
- 40 Deepak KK and Behari M. Specific muscle EMG biofeedback for hand dystonia. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 1999;24:267–80.
- 41 Schwartz SP, Taylor AC, Scharff L *et al.* Behaviorally treated irritable bowel syndrome patients: a fouryear follow-up. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 1990;28:331–5.
- 42 Silver SM, Brooks A and Obenchain J. Treatment of Vietnam War veterans with PTSD: a comparison of eye movement desensitization and reprocessing, biofeedback, and relaxation training. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 1995;8:337–42.
- 43 Peper E, Gibney KH and Wilson VE. Group training with healthy computing practicing to prevent repetitive strain injury (RSI): a preliminary study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2004;29: 279–87.
- 44 Holliday JE and Lippmann M. Reduction in ventilatory response to CO2 with relaxation feedback during CO2 breathing for ventilator patients. *Chest* 2003;124:1500–11.
- 45 Woodford H and Price C. EMG biofeedback for the recovery of motor function after stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006;2:CD004585.
- 46 Heinecke K, Weise C, Schwarz K *et al.* Physiological and psychological stress reactivity in chronic tinnitus. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 2008;31:179– 88.
- 47 Khen-Dunlop N, Van Egroo A, Bouteiller C *et al.* Biofeedback therapy in the treatment of bladder overactivity, vesico-ureteral reflux, and urinary tract infection. *Journal of Pediatric Urology* 2006;2: 424–9.
- 48 Pop Jordanova N. Psychological characteristics and biofeedback mitigation in preadolescents with eating disorders. *Pediatrics International* 2000;42:76– 81.
- 49 Gruber BL, Hersh SP, Hall NR *et al.* Immunological responses of breast cancer patients to behavioral interventions. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation* 1993; 18:1–22.
- 50 Brucker BS and Bulaeva NV. Biofeedback effect on electromyography responses in patients with spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 1996;77:133–7.
- 51 McGrady AV, Kern-Buell C, Bush E *et al.* Biofeedback-assisted relaxation therapy in neuro-

cardiogenic syncope: a pilot study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback* 2003;28:183–92.

52 Barnes PM, Bloom B and Nahin RL. Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults and children. In: *National Health Statistics Reports; No. 12*. Hyattsville: National Center for Health Statistics, 2008.

53 Nahin RL, Barnes PM, Stussman BJ *et al.* Costs of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) and frequency of visits to CAM practitioners. In: *National Health Statistics Reports, No. 18.* Hyattsville: National Center for Health Statistics, 2009.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Dr Christine S Moravec, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Desk NE61, Cleveland, OH, USA. Tel: 001 216 445 9949; email: moravec@ccf.org

91

Accepted April 2010